

# Investigating the Role of Collaborative Innovation Networks and Customer Participation on New Product Performance Cinnagen Co

Elham Eyvazzadeh<sup>1</sup>; Abbas Khamseh<sup>2\*</sup>; Bahareh Cheshmeh Khavar<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Management of Technology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. <sup>1</sup>elhameyvazzadeh@gmail.com <sup>2\*</sup>Department of Management of Industrial, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran. <sup>3</sup>Cinnagen Medical Biotechnology Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran. Cinnagen Research and Production Co., Alborz, Iran.

### Abstract

The impact of collaborative innovation networks on the process of corporate performance has attracted particular attention in recent years. Collaborative innovation networks comprise a collection of suppliers, customers, competitors, universities and research institutes. This study aimed to investigate the role of collaborative innovation networks and customer participation on the performance of new products of Cinnagen Co. Structural equation technique using the least squares method with Smart PLS software was used to analyze the data. The results show that there is no significant direct relationship between customer participation and new product development, but this variable has a direct and significant effect on the performance of the new product, mediated by attraction capacity variables and innovation capabilities. The results also show that collaborative innovation networks mediate innovation capabilities that influence new product performance.

**Key-words:** Collaborative Innovation Networks, Innovation Capability, Customer Participation, Product Performance.

## 1. Introduction

In an increasingly competitive global business environment, companies have realized the need to invest in new product development (NPD) in order to stay in the market and gain competitive advantage [1]. NPD is recognized as a resource-intensive and expensive process that is associated with high risk [2]. Previous research has identified diverse internal and external resources (such as innovation capability) and external capabilities (such as collaboration with channel members and

attraction capacity) as contributing factors to NPD success [1, 3]. Collaboration with various external factors such as suppliers, customers, competitors and research organizations has improved the knowledge sharing and market knowledge gained by the company and has led to the development of existing knowledge base and thereby enhances the innovation capability of the company [4]. In previous research collaborations with foreign actors have been identified as one of the most important external factors affecting NPD performance [4, 5].

Collaborative innovation networks mean that companies interact with different partners such as suppliers, customers, competitors, and research organizations to develop new products [6]. Increasing the company's access to complementary resources, facilitating the exchange of explicit and implicit knowledge and reducing the risk of research and development activities through the distribution of related costs among partners are considered as key benefits of collaborative innovation networks [7]. In line with previous research that defined collaborative innovation networks as "the extent to which channel members participate in new product development innovation processes" [8], this study also focuses on collaborative innovation networks between channel members (such as suppliers and customers) and non-channel members. (Such as competitors, universities and research institutes), and we consider this collaboration to be a feature of the innovation process [5]. Numerous studies have also investigated the impact of different types of innovation networks on new product development [4]. Luzzini et al. and Najafi Tavani et al. in their research showed that collaborative innovation networks have a positive impact on new product performance [2, 6]. Also in their research, product and process innovation capabilities were considered as mechanisms through which collaborative innovation networks lead to improved product performance. Product and process innovation capabilities are the most important internal resources that can influence the achievement of superior performance [9]. On the other hand, the relationship between collaborative innovation networks and product and process innovation capabilities depends on the extent of the firm's attraction capacity [6]. Attraction capacity is helpful in identifying external ideas that are more marketable, redefining and classifying issues and problems, and applying specific environmental knowledge to implement new product solutions [10]. Past research, on the other hand, has emphasized that customer participation in the new product development process is essential to ensure the success of the new product [11]. Customer participation means the extent to which customers are involved in the new product development process [12]. Consumers are therefore seen as an integral part of the new product development process chain [13]. On the other hand, customer involvement can be incorporated into customer activities by sharing needs and providing NPD-related solutions that the company is unaware of. This includes customer involvement in various new product

development activities such as idea generation, knowledge sharing and joint development [11]. Many studies on the benefits of customer participation in the new product development process to achieve greater success by reducing costs [14], improving decision making [15], emphasizing increasing knowledge and complementary resources [16] and promoting new product innovation [12]. Wang et al. in their research showed that that customer participation as the information provider (CPI) mitigates customer-developer conflict, customer participation as the co-developer (CPC) increases it. Furthermore, the nature of new products moderates such effects. Market newness attenuates the role of CPI in mitigating conflict and reduces the positive effect of CPC on conflict; by contrast, technology newness increases the influence of CPC on conflict. The empirical results from a sample of 181 high-tech firms in China largely support these propositions, which offer important implications for customer participation research and practices [17]. Wang & Hu in their research showed that that there are significant positive relationships between collaborative innovation activities, knowledge sharing, collaborative innovation capability, and firm's innovation performance. Moreover, it is expected that knowledge sharing plays a partial mediating role in the relationships between collaborative innovation activities and firm's innovation performance. Collaborative innovation capability exhibited a moderating effect on collaborative innovation activities - innovation performance relationship [18].

On the other hand, the effectiveness of customer participation in new product performance and commercialization of innovative new products is also conditional on the capacity to absorb; as such, (attraction capacity) is a key factor in enhancing the impact of customer participation on new product performance [13]. As mentioned above, the main purpose of the present study is to investigate the role of collaborative innovation networks and customer participation in the performance of new products by Cinnagen co.

Variable name	References
Customer participation	[11], [12], [13], [14], [4] and [5]
Innovation capability	[3], [1], [6] and [9]
Attraction capacity	[3], [1], [13], [10] and [6]
Collaborative Innovation Networks	[7], [4], [2] and [6]

Table 1- Variables affecting new product performance

#### 2. Research Method

Since this study is applicable to subsidiaries of Cinnagen co and other pharmaceutical companies, it is of practical purpose and is a descriptive-survey method. In this research, library

method was used to extract research background and field method was used by questionnaire tool for data collection. The questionnaire used in this study includes indicators derived from the research of Morgan et al. [13] and Najafi Tavani [6]. Indicators in this study are 6: collaborative innovation networks, product innovation capability, process innovation capability, new product development, and customer participation and attraction capacity: Based on the indices studied, the conceptual model of research was obtained as shown in Figure 1. According to the purpose of the study, managers and experts of research and development units, market development, quality control, production, and trading in Cinnagen Pharmaceutical Company were considered as the statistical population in this study. According to a request from the deputy chief of staff at the headquarters of the company, the volume of the community was 137 people. Due to the limited number of the statistical population, the total volume of the statistical population was considered as sample size. However, considering the number of completed and returned questionnaires, data from 98 questionnaires were used in the analysis process. It should be noted that the number of questionnaires completed according to Morgan table is proportional to the size of the statistical population. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was used to evaluate the reliability of the questionnaire with alpha coefficient above 0.7 in all cases. Also, content analysis/expert judgment was used to confirm the validity of the questionnaire. Also, the validity of the indices was evaluated by confirmatory factor analysis, finally, structural equation technique was used to analyze the data using the least squares method with Smart PLS software.



### 3. Findings

After completing questionnaires and coding and data entry into the software, data analysis was performed. Based on the data obtained from the questionnaires, the demographic characteristics

of the respondents indicate that the highest frequency of the respondents with the educational level is the MA with 65.3%. In terms of service history, the most frequent group is less than 5 years with 59.2%. Also, the highest frequency in terms of organizational unit of respondents was related to research and development unit with 63.3%.

#### 3.1. Exterior Model (Measurement Model)

As stated, the outer model is equivalent to confirmatory factor analysis. In other words, to evaluate the model, the exterior model is used to measure the relationships of hidden variables with their measurement items. The outer model examines the relationship of the items or the same questions to the questionnaire with the constructs. In fact, until the questionnaire questions are fixed, the hidden variables are well measured, the relationships cannot be tested. The exterior model was used to show that the hidden variables were correctly measured. The results of the measurement model are presented in Table 2. It should be noted that indices 16 and 26 had a factor load less than 0.7 after running the model and were omitted and the model was implemented without these indices.

Component		Index	Index code	Factor load	Determination coefficient	Component	Index	Index code	Factor load	Determination coefficient
_ :		Cooperation with suppliers	a1	0/809	0.373		Presenting ideas	a21	0/822	0.295
net	olla	Collaborate with customers	a2	0/736	0.298	p:	Business Evaluation	a22	0/797	0.301
boj va		Collaborate with competitors	a3	0/736	0.272	urti.	Product Design	a23	0/836	0.159
rative tion rks	rative tion	Collaboration with research institutes and universities	a4	0/838	0.333	stomer	Product Testing	a24	0/717	0.267
	Prod	Replacement of products and services	a5	0/735	0.087	n .	Control and supervision	a25	0/821	0.236
	uct in	Development of products and services	a6	0/782	0.095		Using industry information resources	a27	0/774	0.083
	novation	Development of environmentally friendly products	a7	0/831	0.093		Utilizing information sources beyond the industry	a28	0/779	0.080
E E	ca	Improved product design	a8	0/860	0.099	_	Sharing ideas	a29	0/844	0.082
ovation capak	pability	Reduce the time of development of new products	a9	0/816	0.096	Attraction capacity	Support units	a30	0/878	0.087
	Process inn	Creating related technologies	a10	0/869	0.102		Fast flow of information	a31	0/846	0.079
ility		Basic and key technology absorption	a11	0/900	0.119		Exchange of information	a32	0/847	0.087
	ova	Reduce production costs	a12	0/874	0.105		Applying new knowledge	a33	0/920	0.085
	tion c	Access to knowledge and innovation in manufacturing	a13	0/904	0.110		Attract new knowledge	a34	0/878	0.096
	apability	Access to knowledge processes and systems	a14	0/882	0.107		Linking new knowledge and insights	a35		0.086
		Effective production	a15	0/890	0.107		Applying new knowledge	a36		0.083
New pro develop		Sales growth	a17	0/796	0.226	-	Supports prototype development	a37		0.093
		Market share	a18	0/937	0.300		Adapting new technology and knowledge	a38		0.093
nent	duct	return on investment	a19	0/929	0/929 0.284		Efficient use of new technology	a39		0.085
		Customer Satisfaction	a20	0/884	0.312					

 Table 2- Models outer partial least squares (measurement model)

#### 3.2. Validation of Research Tools

In this study, the validity of the questionnaires was confirmed by expert judgment. Divergent and convergent validity was also performed with SMART PLS software. Cronbach's alpha was used to measure the reliability of the questionnaire and the calculated Cronbach's alpha for all factors was higher than 0.7, so the questionnaire has the necessary reliability. In order to study the model, first the external model is used to measure the relationships of hidden variables with the items. The external model of the relationship between the items or the same questionnaire questions with constructs was examined. Average variance extracted (AVE) for reliability and Cronbach's alpha coefficient are for reliability. In order to calculate convergent validity, Fornell and Larker [19] have proposed the use of the extracted mean variance criterion. At AVE of at least 0.5, validity indices have good convergence. This means that a hidden variable is able to explain more than half of the variance of its indexes (explicit variables) on average. The results of the validity of each of the research constructs with Cronbach's alpha, AVE and composite reliability indices are presented in Table 3. Given that the appropriate value for the Cronbach's alpha coefficient is above 70%, it is evaluated as optimal for a composite reliability greater than 0.7 [20] and for AVE greater than 0.5 [19]. According to the research findings in the table above, all of these criteria have adopted appropriate values for current variables, therefore, the convergence reliability and validity of the present study can be confirmed.

Dimensions	Number of questions	Cronbach's alpha	AVE	Combined validity
Collaborative innovation networks	4	0.786	0.610	0.862
Product and process innovation capability	12	0.968	0.711	0.972
New product development	4	0.910	0.789	0.937
Customer participation	5	0.959	0.695	0.964
Attraction capacity	14	0.860	0.640	0.899

Table 3- Combined validity of each of the research tools

### 3.3. Structural Model Testing

Testing the structural model by examining the path coefficients (numbers on the path) tests the significance of the path coefficients of the research hypotheses. According to the fitted model above standardized regression coefficient, the impact of absorption capacity and innovation capability on new product performance is directly significant, which has regression coefficients of 0.561 and 0.308, respectively. The standardized regression coefficient of the impact of customer participation mediated by the capacity of absorption and innovation capability on indirect product performance is

indirectly equal to 0.454 (0.136 + 0.318). Also the standardized regression coefficient of the impact of innovation network mediated by innovation capability on indirect product performance is indirectly equal to 0.040. To investigate the extent to which the dependent variables of the research were predicted and explained by the independent variables. The coefficient of determination  $(\mathbf{R}^2)$  is used to connect the measurement part and the structural part to the structural equation modeling and indicates the effect that an exogenous variable has on an endogenous variable. The value of this coefficient for the impact of new product performance on the independent variables studied is 0.759. The effectiveness of the innovation capability of the independent variables is 0.854. The adsorption capacity variable alone is affected by the customer participation variable with the coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  of 0.321. Diagram (1) shows these coefficients in the standard mode structural model test. Since in TLS software the t-statistic value is used to check the significance of the relationships and this value is 1.96 for 5% error. The significance of the t-test is compared to the value of 1.96, so that if the t-statistic is higher than the above value, the relationship is significant. Considering the above figure, except for the variable relationship of customer participation to new product performance, all t values were greater than 1.96. Therefore, except for the variable relationship of customer participation to new product performance, all model relationships are significant. In other words, customer engagement for direct leverage can have a significant impact on new product performance and does not have a direct impact on the study. Diagram (2) shows these coefficients in testing the research significance model.



Diagram 2- Research Structural Model Test

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021) Received: 04.03.2021 – Accepted: 10.04.2021



Diagram 2- Measure the overall model and the results of the hypotheses in a meaningful status

#### 3.4. Goodness of Fit of Research Model

To assess the quality or validity of the model, the validity of the model, which includes the subscription validity index and the index of validity or redundancy, was used. The index of measure measures the quality of the measurement model of each block. The hash index, also referred to as Q2 Stone-Geyser, positive values indicate good and acceptable quality of the measurement and structural model. As it can be seen from Table (4), the share index and the hash index related to the research variables in the model are of good quality and indicate good fit of the model with respect to these indices.

Variable	CV Com	CV Red			
Collaborative innovation networks	0.312	0.293			
Product and process innovation capability	0.453	0.373			
New product development	0.298	0.217			
Customer participation	0.317	0.301			
Attraction capacity	0.431	0.386			

Table 4- Subscription indices and Hash Index

Table (5) shows some other indicators of the fit of the research model. According to the obtained data, the data collected are sufficient for fitting the hidden variables and therefore the results of the research model estimation are reliable and reliable.

Variables	Acceptable limit	Observed value	Result
SRMR	Less than 0.08 [21]	0.085	Proper fitting
d-ULS	Less than 0.95 [22]	0.695	Proper fitting
Chi-square	Less than 1.96 [21]	3.099	Proper fitting
NFI	More than 0.25 [23]	0.523	Proper fitting

Table 5 - Goodness of Fit of Overall Research Model

#### 3.5. General Model Fit (GOF Criterion)

The GOF criterion is used to evaluate the fit of the overall model. Three values of 0.01, 0.25 and 0.36 are presented as weak, medium and strong values for GOF [24, 25].

This criterion is calculated by the following formula. The results indicate a value of 0.487 for GOF, which indicates a very good fit to the model.

$$GOF = \sqrt{Communality} * \overline{R^2}$$

Table 6- Criteria for calculating the overall fit of the GOF research model

Variable	CV Com	R2
Collaborative innovation networks	0.312	-
Product and process innovation capability	0.453	0.865
New product development	0.298	0.751
Customer participation	0.317	-
Attraction capacity	0.431	0.332

### 4. Discussion and Conclusion

The results show that customer engagement does not have a significant direct effect on new product performance. However, considering the  $R^2$  coefficient, the impact of new product performance on the independent variables studied is 0.751. This finding is in line with the results of the study by Morgan et al. [13]. That the effectiveness of customer engagement in new product performance and commercialization of new innovative products is also contingent on the capacity to absorb is thus a key factor in enhancing the impact of customer engagement, but rather represents the path and mechanism by which customer engagement can lead to the development and improvement of new company's product performance. The standardized regression coefficient, the impact of customer engagement mediated by the capacity of absorption and innovation capability on indirect product performance is indirectly equal to 0.454 (0.136 + 0.318). On the other hand, past

research has emphasized the importance of customer involvement in the new product development process to ensure the success of the new product [11]. The impact of the adsorption capacity on the yield of the new product is directly significant. The calculated regression coefficient is 0.561. The variable of absorption capacity alone is affected by the customer participation variable with a coefficient of determination of 0.567. This in some way illustrates the importance of customer engagement in improving the capacity of adsorption. Absorption capacity in identifying external ideas with greater marketability, redefining and classifying issues and problems and applying specific environmental knowledge to assist in the implementation of new product solutions is supported by Chandy et al. study [10]. The impact of innovation capability on the performance of the new product directly has a significant regression coefficient of 0.308. This finding is in line with the results of Najafi Tavani et al. [6]. In their research, they also considered product innovation and process capabilities as mechanisms through which collaborative innovation networks improve new product performance. Product and process innovation capabilities are among the most important internal resources that can influence the achievement of superior performance [3]. On the other hand, the relationship between collaborative innovation networks and product and process innovation capabilities depends on the extent of the firm's absorption capacity [6]. The effectiveness of the innovation capability of the independent variables is 0.854 [4]. Luzzini et al. [2] and Najafi Tavani et al. [6] in their research showed that collaborative innovation networks have a positive impact on new product performance. Since the purpose of this study is applied and the researcher is seeking more scientific and practical development of the results obtained from this research, therefore, according to the research, the research proposal is presented to Cinnagen Co and other industries which have a very important customer role:

1. Customer participation can influence the performance of a new product due to the innovative capacity of the company in product design and process.

So it can be a guarantee of success and assurance of new product performance in the market.Changes in customer needs through customer participation can be transferred to the production system and awareness of customer needs is effective in optimizing the allocation of production

facilities.

Such information and knowledge can be obtained from a variety of sources, including market research and customer interaction.

3. Creating targeted innovation networks and customer engagement alone will not achieve complete success. This requires innovative capabilities and the capacity to attract companies and organizations to share ideas or interests bilaterally.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors of the article are grateful for the cooperation and assistance of the executives and experts of Cinnagen Co.

### References

Mu, J., Thomas, E., Peng, G., & Di Benedetto, A. (2017). Strategic orientation and new product development performance: The role of networking capability and networking ability. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 64, 187-201.

Luzzini, D., Amann, M., Caniato, F., Essig, M., & Ronchi, S. (2015). The path of innovation: purchasing and supplier involvement into new product development. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 47, 109-120.

La Rocca, A., Moscatelli, P., Perna, A., & Snehota, I. (2016). Customer involvement in new product development in B2B: The role of sales. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 58, 45-57.

Clauss, T., & Kesting, T. (2017). How businesses should govern knowledge-intensive collaborations with universities: An empirical investigation of university professors. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 62, 185-198.

Alexiev, A.S., Volberda, H.W., & Van den Bosch, F.A. (2016). Interorganizational collaboration and firm innovativeness: Unpacking the role of the organizational environment. *Journal of business research*, 69(2), 974-984.

Najafi-Tavani, S., Najafi-Tavani, Z., Naudé, P., Oghazi, P., & Zeynaloo, E. (2018). How collaborative innovation networks affect new product performance: Product innovation capability, process innovation capability, and absorptive capacity. *Industrial marketing management*, *73*, 193-205.

Yan, T., & Dooley, K. (2014). Buyer–supplier collaboration quality in new product development projects. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(2), 59-83.

Tsai, K.H. (2009). Collaborative networks and product innovation performance: Toward a contingency perspective. *Research policy*, *38*(5), 765-778.

Perna, A., Baraldi, E., & Waluszewski, A. (2015). Is the value created necessarily associated with money? On the connections between an innovation process and its monetary dimension: The case of Solibro's thin-film solar cells. *Industrial Marketing Management, 46,* 108-121.

Chandy, R., Hopstaken, B., Narasimhan, O., & Prabhu, J. (2006). From invention to innovation: Conversion ability in product development. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *43*(3), 494-508.

Chang, W., & Taylor, S.A. (2016). The effectiveness of customer participation in new product development: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(1), 47-64.

Fang, E., Palmatier, R.W., & Evans, K.R. (2008). Influence of customer participation on creating and sharing of new product value. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *36*(3), 322-336.

Morgan, T., Obal, M., & Anokhin, S. (2018). Customer participation and new product performance: Towards the understanding of the mechanisms and key contingencies. *Research Policy*, 47(2), 498-510.

Auh, S., Bell, S.J., McLeod, C.S., & Shih, E. (2007). Co-production and customer loyalty in financial services. Journal of retailing, 83(3), 359-370.

Griffin, A., & Hauser, J.R. (1993). The voice of the customer. Marketing science, 12(1), 1-27.

Coviello, N.E., & Joseph, R.M. (2012). Creating major innovations with customers: Insights from small and young technology firms. *Journal of Marketing*, *76*(6), 87-104.

Wang, L., Jin, J.L., Zhou, K.Z., Li, C.B., & Yin, E. (2020). Does customer participation hurt new product development performance? Customer role, product newness, and conflict. *Journal of Business Research*, 109, 246-259.

Wang, C., & Hu, Q. (2020). Knowledge sharing in supply chain networks: Effects of collaborative innovation activities and capability on innovation performance. *Technovation*, *94*, 102010.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of marketing research*, *18*(1), 39-50.

Nunnally, J.C. (1978). An overview of psychological measurement. *Clinical diagnosis of mental disorders*, 97-146.

Hu, L.T., & Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural equation modeling: a multidisciplinary journal*, 6(1), 1-55.

Henseler, J., Hubona, G., & Ray, P.A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: updated guidelines. Industrial management & data systems.

Bentler, P.M., & Bonett, D.G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological bulletin*, 88(3), 588.

Sánchez-Franco, M.J., Martínez-López, F.J., & Martín-Velicia, F.A. (2009). Exploring the impact of individualism and uncertainty avoidance in Web-based electronic learning: An empirical analysis in European higher education. *Computers & Education*, *52*(3), 588-598.

Vinzi, V.E., Chin, W.W., Henseler, J., & Wang, H. (2010). Handbook of partial least squares, Berlin: Springer, 201.