www.revistageintec.net ISSN: 2237-0722



Suggested Risk Policies from Comparison of 2 Groups of Vietnam Banks - Previous SOE Banks and Private Banks During Post-Low **Inflation Period 2015-2020**

Vu Quynh Nam¹; Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy^{2*}; Nguyen Tien Dung³ ¹Thai Nguyen University of Economics and Business Administration (TUEBA), Vietnam. ¹quynhnam@tueba.edu.vn

^{2*}Banking University HCMC, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

International University of Japan, Niigata, Japan. ^{2*}dtnhuy2010@gmail.com

³Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Vietnam.

³Dung.nguyentien3@hust.edu.vn

Abstract

As fast growth of Vietnam banks going with risk policies, We categorize listed banks on Vietnam stock exchange into 2 groups: Previous SOEs banks (including Vietcombank and Vietinbank) and Previous Private banks (including SHB, EIB and ACB).

Authors then use combination of quantitative methods (statistics, calculation formulas) and qualitative methods including synthesis, inductive and explanatory methods.

The research findings tell us that In groups of banks (SOEs previously) VCB and CTG we find out: G and Risk free rate (Rf) have higher impacts on beta CAPM, for internal factors. While In groups of joint stock banks (private banks) SHB, ACB and EIB we figure out: exchange rate and SP500 have higher impacts on beta CAPM, for external factors.

Besides, this study also give out recommendations for enhancing socio-economic roles of Vietnam banks in future and give out directions or implications for socio-economic policies.

Key-words: Risk Policies, Vietnam Banks, Beta CAPM, Inflation, Economic Development, Vietnam.

JEL: M21, G30, G32, G38.

1. Introduction

First, we recognize the importance of building better risk management information system (RMIS) in banking also increase to a new level in recent years.

Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Next, We emphasize that the role of reliable internet data increasing in recent years. There is

evidence in banking sector showing that internet data serving better for building information system

for better bank management. Balasubramanian et al (2014) specified that the banking sector has

always been in the vanguard of technology in order to add value to its products, services and

efficiency. The Internet has galvanized business by increasing customer base, reducing transaction

costs, and enabling sale of products globally. Khrais (2019) mentioned Business information systems

are interconnected structures or procedures within a business entity that uses information and

communication technology (ICT) to support decision making by generating, processing and

providing useful information for the entity. Business information systems have five key components.

These are the people using the system, the hardware, software, database and network. Good business

information systems are flexible such that they can be able to anticipate and adapt to changes in the

information needs of the business. They are also must efficient, meet the demands of the business,

and are designed according to the financial and human resource capacity of the business entity.

Furthermore, good businesses are cost effective.

In this paper we mainly focus on using reliable internet data in comparing and evaluating the

key factor: beta CAPM under macro factors effects, for 2 big bank groups in Vietnam: a) group 1:

Vietcombank - VCB and Vietinbank - CTG (previously, SOE) and b) group 2: Asia Commercial

Bank - ACB, Eximbank -EIB, Saigon Hanoi Bank -SHB (previously, private bank).

All internet data such as stock price, exchange rate, inflation, GDP growth, risk free rate we

take from reliable internet data sources, esp. from website of State Bank of Vietnam, Bureau of

Statistics, Minsitry of Finance, banks, etc.

We recognize from below charts with all data from reliable internet sources (mentioned

above) that:

2. Literature Review

First, Karim (2011) pointed Management Information Systems (MIS) is the key factor to

facilitate and attain efficient decision making in an organization.

Trivelas and Satouridis (2013) stated that in Greece a) the externally focused Management

Information System (MIS) effectiveness archetypes (OS, RM) reflecting innovation, creativity, goal

setting and planning enhance task productivity b) the Internal process (IP) model of MIS

effectiveness influences negatively task productivity.

ISSN: 2237-0722

Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

532

Arasu et al (2014) found the Internet has revolutionized services across institutions. The Banking sector has registered significant change in the quality of service owing to the bandwidth of information flow ensuring greater customer-satisfaction. This has also brought into perspective the security environment within which information flow takes place.

Moreover, Gupta (2019) specified that Information system (IS) is important in almost all the functional areas of any bank i.e. HR, Marketing, Finance, etc. It also helps in risk management and cash management along with maintaining long run customer relationship.

Then, We summarize previous studies as follows:

Table 1 - Summary of Previous Studies

| Domestic researches | Authors name | Results, contents |
|--|---|--|
| 1.Systemic risk and the problem of determining Beta coefficient in Vietnam | Vương Đức Hoàng Quân (2012) | In the first stage, in general, the information from the Vietnam stock market is not sufficient in quantity and quality to estimate the beta coefficient according to the traditional method, which is regression analysis of stock returns volatility compared to indices. VN-Index to value the listed companies and stocks. |
| 2.Fama-French 3-Factor Model: The empirical evidence from the Ho Chi Minh City Stock Exchange | Trương Đông Lộc and Dương Thị Hoàng Trang (2014) | The research results show that earnings of stocks are positively correlated with market risk, firm size and the book value to market value (BE / ME) ratio. In other words, the Fama - French 3-factor model is suitable in explaining the change in profits of stocks listed on HOSE. |
| 3.The econometric model for stock prices in the period 2008-2011 - Case of stock prices ACB, VNIndex, risk free rate and S& P500 | Đinh Trần Ngọc Huy (2015) | Analyze the impact of VNIndex and internal and external macro variables on the stock price of ACB. |
| 4.The theory of average return of K.Marx and model of capital asset pricing | Nguyễn Thị Hường (2017) | The limitation of Vietnam's stock market is the lack of beta in stock analysis. However, as the market portfolio matures, beta will keep pace with the development of the market. |
| 5. Book chapter by Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy (2021, Springer Verlag book chapter) "Impacts of Internal and External Macro Factors on Firm Stock Price in An Econometric Model – A Case In Viet Nam Real Estate Industry" | Đinh Trần Ngọc Huy (2021) | Presenting a regression model analyzing the impact of internal macro variables (inflation in Vietnam, lending rate, risk-free rate) and external (US inflation, exchange rate, S&P 500) on stock prices Vingroup is as follows: Stock price_VIC = -245.13 * Inflation_CPI + Lendingrate - 815.06*Rf_rate |
| 6. Systemic risks in banking business - periods of crisis | Nguyễn Thanh Bé, Bùi Quang Hưng (2019) | Presented in Vietnam, the risk management system at commercial banks has been paid attention to a certain extent in the past few years, but due to its structural and technical limitations, this system has not can meet the complex requirements of a modern commercial bank operating in the current risky environment. |
| 7. Factors affecting the return rate of listed stocks from the Fama French 5-factor model | Trịnh Minh Quang et al (2019) | Referring to factors of market change will strongly affect the share prices of large companies |

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

| International researches | Authors name | Results |
|--|--|---|
| 1. The Impact of Macroeconomic and Financial Variables on Market Risk: Evidence from International Equity Returns | Patro et al (2002) | They found that a number of variables including imports, exports, inflation, market capitalization, dividend yield, and a book-to-book price ratio significantly influence a person's world market risk at national level. |
| 2. Do economic factors influence stock returns? A firm and industry level analysis | Butt et al (2010) | The results revealed that market returns are primarily changes in stock returns, but macroeconomic variables and industry-related variables add explanatory power in describing volatility. stock returns. |
| Macroeconomic factors and micro-level bank risk Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Banking Index in Pakistan | Claudia et al (2010) Saeed và Akhter (2012) | The risk of about a third of US banks increases in response to monetary easing. In Karachi stock market, Regression results show that exchange rate and short-term interest rate have a significant impact on the Banking index. Macroeconomic variables such as money supply, exchange rate, industrial production and Short-term interest rate and exchange rate have a negative effect on banking index while oil price has a positive effect on the bank index. Banking index. |
| 5. Impact of Macroeconomic Indicators on Stock Market Performance: The Case of The Istanbul Stock Exchange | Arnes (2014) | Their analysis has shown that for investors interested in Turkey, first of all, be careful not to assume that relationships that existed in the past will continue into the future. We also find that depending on the sector, the effects of changes in macroeconomic variables will also differ. For policymakers and lawmakers, however, our findings indicate that keeping interest rates low has been a good policy for the past 20 years. |
| 6.Bank Leverage Ratios and Financial Stability: A Microand Macroprudential Perspective | Emilios (2015) | The leverage cycle can cause financial instability and the impact of limited leverage on bank governance performance. |
| 7. Effect Of Macroeconomic Variables On Stock Market Returns For Four Emerging Economies: Brazil, Russia, India, And China | Gay (2016) | According to the hypothesis, the relationship between the exchange rate and the security's price should be in the same direction. |
| 8. The Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on the German Stock Market: Evidence for the Crisis, Preand Post-Crisis Periods | Celebi and Honig (2019) | In Germany, the aggregate index (OECD), the Economic Research Institute's Export Expectations index, the climate index, exports, CPI, as well as the 3-year German government bond yield has a delayed effect on stock returns |
| 9. Impacts of macro variables on Starbucks Corp. | Kumaresan (2019) | Indicates that compared to internal corporate factors, macroeconomic factors (exchange rate) have a greater effect on firm performance. |

3. Methodology

Method and Data

This study mainly use combination of quantitative methods and qualitative methods including synthesis, inductive and explanatory methods. And it emphasizes again important roles of internet data in sustainable bank risk management

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

We use both quantitative and qualitative analytical methods, with OLS regression supported by Eviews. Data is collected from reliable internet sources and websites as below:

Most data from stock exchange and reliable. Stock price from HOSE or HNX stock exchange, rates from bank system, GDP and CPI from Bureau statistics and Ministry of Finance.

This research paper establishes correlation among econ factors and uses OLS regression model. Beta CAPM is a function with 9 variables presented below.

Y (beta CAPM) = $f(x_1: G, x_2: CPI, x_3: R, x_4: Rf, x_5: VNIndex, x_6; exchange rate, x_7:$ SP500, x8: trade balance, x9: Industrial manufacturing).

We therefore can estimate effects and impacts of multi factors on bank.

Looking at Descriptive Statistics Below, We See That

- In group of previous SOE banks (CTG and VCB): range of beta CAPM mean values vary from -0.27 to 2.5, beta mean highest in case VCB (1.19). Standard deviation of exchange rate, SP500 and trade balance get highest values.
- In group of previous private banks (EIB, SHB and ACB): range of beta CAPM mean values vary from -1.46 to 3.3, beta mean highest in case EIB (1.39). Max beta highest (3.3) in case of ACB. Standard deviation of exchange rate, SP500 and trade balance get highest values.

Figure 1 - CTG EXRATE RF TRADEBA. VNINDEX Mean 0.057983 0.039517 0.656675 0.028008 22809.67 166.6833 0.095217 2670.148 4.483333 822.8833 858.8200 0.065200 0.095000 0.037000 2590.230 0.491700 0.030200 22923.00 150.4000 -100.0000 Median 2.533700 0.047400 0.070800 267.2000 0.110000 0.061800 3703.060 410.0000 23230.00 1067.500 Maximum Minimum -0.275800 0.006300 0.018100 127.3000 0.080000 579.0300 21780.00 0.012200 2043.940 500.0000 0.701284 0.012080 427.6367 0.017170 42.17356 0.010451 0.016243 513.0916 313.6589 172.2477 Std. Dev Skewness 1.607032 -0.262708 1.235511 1.467570 1.446639 0.011162 -0.030322 0.526233 0.289823 -0.244276 2.206974 3.825801 3.807463 2.340080 1.781900 1.596927 Kurtosis 5.507438 3.903326 1.815576 1.919779 8.308726 0.452476 3.393948 4.633522 4.593525 0.701679 0.585278 0.771590 0.909879 1.103648 Jarque-Bera 0.015696 0.797528 0.183237 0.098592 0.100584 0.704097 0.746292 0.679910 0.634486 0.575898 0.336100 273716.0 0.695800 2000.200 1.142600 0.474200 53.80000 9874.600 7.880100 32041.77 Sum Sum Sq. Dev. 5.409784 2011605. 0.003243 19564.70 0.001201 0.002902 2895893 326362.0

Figure 2 – VCB

| | BETAVCB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX |
|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mean | 1.192525 | 0.028008 | 22809.67 | 0.057983 | 166.6833 | 0.095217 | 0.039517 | 2670.148 | 4.483333 | 822.8833 |
| Median | 1.084800 | 0.030200 | 22923.00 | 0.065200 | 150.4000 | 0.095000 | 0.037000 | 2590.230 | -100.0000 | 858.8200 |
| Maximum | 2.099400 | 0.047400 | 23230.00 | 0.070800 | 267.2000 | 0.110000 | 0.061800 | 3703.060 | 410.0000 | 1067.500 |
| Minimum | 0.590600 | 0.006300 | 21780.00 | 0.018100 | 127.3000 | 0.080000 | 0.012200 | 2043.940 | -500.0000 | 579.0300 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.471423 | 0.012080 | 427.6367 | 0.017170 | 42.17356 | 0.010451 | 0.016243 | 513.0916 | 313.6589 | 172.2477 |
| Skewness | 0.455134 | -0.262708 | -1.235511 | -1.467570 | 1.446639 | -0.011162 | -0.030322 | 0.526233 | 0.289823 | -0.244276 |
| Kurtosis | 2.252966 | 2.206974 | 3.825801 | 3.807463 | 3.903326 | 1.815576 | 1.919779 | 2.340080 | 1.781900 | 1.596927 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jarque-Bera | 0.693324 | 0.452476 | 3.393948 | 4.633522 | 4.593525 | 0.701679 | 0.585278 | 0.771590 | 0.909879 | 1.103648 |
| Probability | 0.707044 | 0.797528 | 0.183237 | 0.098592 | 0.100584 | 0.704097 | 0.746292 | 0.679910 | 0.634486 | 0.575898 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 14.31030 | 0.336100 | 273716.0 | 0.695800 | 2000.200 | 1.142600 | 0.474200 | 32041.77 | 53.80000 | 9874.600 |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 2.444631 | 0.001605 | 2011605. | 0.003243 | 19564.70 | 0.001201 | 0.002902 | 2895893. | 1082201. | 326362.0 |

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Figure 3 - EIB

| | BETAEIB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX |
|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mean | 1.388333 | 0.028008 | 22809.67 | 0.057983 | 166.6833 | 0.095217 | 0.039517 | 2670.148 | 4.483333 | 822.8833 |
| Median | 1.425000 | 0.030200 | 22923.00 | 0.065200 | 150.4000 | 0.095000 | 0.037000 | 2590.230 | -100.0000 | 858.8200 |
| Maximum | 2.500000 | 0.047400 | 23230.00 | 0.070800 | 267.2000 | 0.110000 | 0.061800 | 3703.060 | 410.0000 | 1067.500 |
| Minimum | 0.390000 | 0.006300 | 21780.00 | 0.018100 | 127.3000 | 0.080000 | 0.012200 | 2043.940 | -500.0000 | 579.0300 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.569399 | 0.012080 | 427.6367 | 0.017170 | 42.17356 | 0.010451 | 0.016243 | 513.0916 | 313.6589 | 172.2477 |
| Skewness | 0.036050 | -0.262708 | -1.235511 | -1.467570 | 1.446639 | -0.011162 | -0.030322 | 0.526233 | 0.289823 | -0.244276 |
| Kurtosis | 2.933702 | 2.206974 | 3.825801 | 3.807463 | 3.903326 | 1.815576 | 1.919779 | 2.340080 | 1.781900 | 1.596927 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jarque-Bera | 0.004797 | 0.452476 | 3.393948 | 4.633522 | 4.593525 | 0.701679 | 0.585278 | 0.771590 | 0.909879 | 1.103648 |
| Probability | 0.997604 | 0.797528 | 0.183237 | 0.098592 | 0.100584 | 0.704097 | 0.746292 | 0.679910 | 0.634486 | 0.575898 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 16.66000 | 0.336100 | 273716.0 | 0.695800 | 2000.200 | 1.142600 | 0.474200 | 32041.77 | 53.80000 | 9874.600 |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 3.566367 | 0.001605 | 2011605. | 0.003243 | 19564.70 | 0.001201 | 0.002902 | 2895893. | 1082201. | 326362.0 |

Figure 4 - SHB

| | BETASHB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mean | 0.635833 | 0.028008 | 22809.67 | 0.057983 | 166.6833 | 0.095217 | 0.039517 | 2670.148 | 4.483333 | 822.8833 |
| Median | 0.865000 | 0.030200 | 22923.00 | 0.065200 | 150.4000 | 0.095000 | 0.037000 | 2590.230 | -100.0000 | 858.8200 |
| Maximum | 1.130000 | 0.047400 | 23230.00 | 0.070800 | 267.2000 | 0.110000 | 0.061800 | 3703.060 | 410.0000 | 1067.500 |
| Minimum | -1.460000 | 0.006300 | 21780.00 | 0.018100 | 127.3000 | 0.080000 | 0.012200 | 2043.940 | -500.0000 | 579.0300 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.707267 | 0.012080 | 427.6367 | 0.017170 | 42.17356 | 0.010451 | 0.016243 | 513.0916 | 313.6589 | 172.2477 |
| Skewness | -2.401782 | -0.262708 | -1.235511 | -1.467570 | 1.446639 | -0.011162 | -0.030322 | 0.526233 | 0.289823 | -0.244276 |
| Kurtosis | 7.721237 | 2.206974 | 3.825801 | 3.807463 | 3.903326 | 1.815576 | 1.919779 | 2.340080 | 1.781900 | 1.596927 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jarque-Bera | 22.68216 | 0.452476 | 3.393948 | 4.633522 | 4.593525 | 0.701679 | 0.585278 | 0.771590 | 0.909879 | 1.103648 |
| Probability | 0.000012 | 0.797528 | 0.183237 | 0.098592 | 0.100584 | 0.704097 | 0.746292 | 0.679910 | 0.634486 | 0.575898 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 7.630000 | 0.336100 | 273716.0 | 0.695800 | 2000.200 | 1.142600 | 0.474200 | 32041.77 | 53.80000 | 9874.600 |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 5.502492 | 0.001605 | 2011605. | 0.003243 | 19564.70 | 0.001201 | 0.002902 | 2895893. | 1082201. | 326362.0 |

Figure 5 - ACB

| | BETAACB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX |
|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mean | 0.990000 | 0.028008 | 22809.67 | 0.057983 | 166.6833 | 0.095217 | 0.039517 | 2670.148 | 4.483333 | 822.8833 |
| Median | 0.805000 | 0.030200 | 22923.00 | 0.065200 | 150.4000 | 0.095000 | 0.037000 | 2590.230 | -100.0000 | 858.8200 |
| Maximum | 3.370000 | 0.047400 | 23230.00 | 0.070800 | 267.2000 | 0.110000 | 0.061800 | 3703.060 | 410.0000 | 1067.500 |
| Minimum | 0.400000 | 0.006300 | 21780.00 | 0.018100 | 127.3000 | 0.080000 | 0.012200 | 2043.940 | -500.0000 | 579.0300 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.786789 | 0.012080 | 427.6367 | 0.017170 | 42.17356 | 0.010451 | 0.016243 | 513.0916 | 313.6589 | 172.2477 |
| Skewness | 2.524943 | -0.262708 | -1.235511 | -1.467570 | 1.446639 | -0.011162 | -0.030322 | 0.526233 | 0.289823 | -0.244276 |
| Kurtosis | 8.372413 | 2.206974 | 3.825801 | 3.807463 | 3.903326 | 1.815576 | 1.919779 | 2.340080 | 1.781900 | 1.596927 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jarque-Bera | 27.18209 | 0.452476 | 3.393948 | 4.633522 | 4.593525 | 0.701679 | 0.585278 | 0.771590 | 0.909879 | 1.103648 |
| Probability | 0.000001 | 0.797528 | 0.183237 | 0.098592 | 0.100584 | 0.704097 | 0.746292 | 0.679910 | 0.634486 | 0.575898 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 11.88000 | 0.336100 | 273716.0 | 0.695800 | 2000.200 | 1.142600 | 0.474200 | 32041.77 | 53.80000 | 9874.600 |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 6.809400 | 0.001605 | 2011605. | 0.003243 | 19564.70 | 0.001201 | 0.002902 | 2895893. | 1082201. | 326362.0 |

4. Main Results

4.1 Overall Results

First we analyze correlation:

Looking at Figures Below, We See That

• In group of previous SOE banks (CTG and VCB): trade balance has highest negative correlation, in case of CTG (-0.42) and of VCB (-0.6). Higher than correlation of

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

- VNIndex (-0.15) in case of CTG and (-0.44) in case of VCB. Lending rate has positive correlation with beta of CTG and VCB.
- In group of previous private banks (EIB, SHB and ACB): trade balance has lower negative correlation, in case of EIB (-0.05) and of SHB (0.01) and of ACB (-0.16).
 VNIndex has positive correlation with beta of these 3 private banks, while negative relationship in case previous SOE banks. LEnding rate has negative correlation in 2 cases: EIB and SHB.

Figure 6 - CTG

| | Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | BETACTG | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX | |
| BETACTG | 1.000000 | 0.336933 | -0.208220 | 0.148388 | 0.764773 | 0.138517 | 0.258014 | -0.279036 | -0.421643 | -0.150356 | |
| CPI | 0.336933 | 1.000000 | 0.355839 | 0.084484 | 0.413563 | -0.414518 | -0.181729 | 0.255209 | -0.220207 | 0.414535 | |
| EXRATE | -0.208220 | 0.355839 | 1.000000 | -0.085689 | -0.083666 | -0.775791 | -0.724295 | 0.686922 | 0.531029 | 0.767832 | |
| G | 0.148388 | 0.084484 | -0.085689 | 1.000000 | 0.183953 | -0.269621 | 0.461428 | -0.451641 | -0.519267 | -0.057490 | |
| IM | 0.764773 | 0.413563 | -0.083666 | 0.183953 | 1.000000 | 0.092188 | 0.151470 | -0.215029 | -0.381218 | 0.008190 | |
| R | 0.138517 | -0.414518 | -0.775791 | -0.269621 | 0.092188 | 1.000000 | 0.639799 | -0.670855 | -0.392501 | -0.877965 | |
| RF | 0.258014 | -0.181729 | -0.724295 | 0.461428 | 0.151470 | 0.639799 | 1.000000 | -0.834478 | -0.664628 | -0.821145 | |
| SP500 | -0.279036 | 0.255209 | 0.686922 | -0.451641 | -0.215029 | -0.670855 | -0.834478 | 1.000000 | 0.841299 | 0.865637 | |
| TRADEBA | -0.421643 | -0.220207 | 0.531029 | -0.519267 | -0.381218 | -0.392501 | -0.664628 | 0.841299 | 1.000000 | 0.604803 | |
| VNINDEX | -0.150356 | 0.414535 | 0.767832 | -0.057490 | 0.008190 | -0.877965 | -0.821145 | 0.865637 | 0.604803 | 1.000000 | |

Figure 7 - VCB

| | Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | BETAVCB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX | |
| BETAVCB | 1.000000 | 0.010098 | -0.383907 | 0.400397 | -0.010006 | 0.128686 | 0.447294 | -0.454337 | -0.602414 | -0.447930 | |
| CPI | 0.010098 | 1.000000 | 0.355839 | 0.084484 | 0.413563 | -0.414518 | -0.181729 | 0.255209 | -0.220207 | 0.414535 | |
| EXRATE | -0.383907 | 0.355839 | 1.000000 | -0.085689 | -0.083666 | -0.775791 | -0.724295 | 0.686922 | 0.531029 | 0.767832 | |
| G | 0.400397 | 0.084484 | -0.085689 | 1.000000 | 0.183953 | -0.269621 | 0.461428 | -0.451641 | -0.519267 | -0.057490 | |
| IM | -0.010006 | 0.413563 | -0.083666 | 0.183953 | 1.000000 | 0.092188 | 0.151470 | -0.215029 | -0.381218 | 0.008190 | |
| R | 0.128686 | -0.414518 | -0.775791 | -0.269621 | 0.092188 | 1.000000 | 0.639799 | -0.670855 | -0.392501 | -0.877965 | |
| RF | 0.447294 | -0.181729 | -0.724295 | 0.461428 | 0.151470 | 0.639799 | 1.000000 | -0.834478 | -0.664628 | -0.821145 | |
| SP500 | -0.454337 | 0.255209 | 0.686922 | -0.451641 | -0.215029 | -0.670855 | -0.834478 | 1.000000 | 0.841299 | 0.865637 | |
| TRADEBA | -0.602414 | -0.220207 | 0.531029 | -0.519267 | -0.381218 | -0.392501 | -0.664628 | 0.841299 | 1.000000 | 0.604803 | |
| VNINDEX | -0.447930 | 0.414535 | 0.767832 | -0.057490 | 0.008190 | -0.877965 | -0.821145 | 0.865637 | 0.604803 | 1.000000 | |

Figure 8 - EIB

| | Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | BETAEIB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX | |
| BETAEIB | 1.000000 | 0.155218 | 0.159855 | 0.394881 | 0.508124 | -0.320816 | -0.086799 | 0.056751 | -0.054852 | 0.364282 | |
| CPI | 0.155218 | 1.000000 | 0.355839 | 0.084484 | 0.413563 | -0.414518 | -0.181729 | 0.255209 | -0.220207 | 0.414535 | |
| EXRATE | 0.159855 | 0.355839 | 1.000000 | -0.085689 | -0.083666 | -0.775791 | -0.724295 | 0.686922 | 0.531029 | 0.767832 | |
| G | 0.394881 | 0.084484 | -0.085689 | 1.000000 | 0.183953 | -0.269621 | 0.461428 | -0.451641 | -0.519267 | -0.057490 | |
| IM | 0.508124 | 0.413563 | -0.083666 | 0.183953 | 1.000000 | 0.092188 | 0.151470 | -0.215029 | -0.381218 | 0.008190 | |
| R | -0.320816 | -0.414518 | -0.775791 | -0.269621 | 0.092188 | 1.000000 | 0.639799 | -0.670855 | -0.392501 | -0.877965 | |
| RF | -0.086799 | -0.181729 | -0.724295 | 0.461428 | 0.151470 | 0.639799 | 1.000000 | -0.834478 | -0.664628 | -0.821145 | |
| SP500 | 0.056751 | 0.255209 | 0.686922 | -0.451641 | -0.215029 | -0.670855 | -0.834478 | 1.000000 | 0.841299 | 0.865637 | |
| TRADEBA | -0.054852 | -0.220207 | 0.531029 | -0.519267 | -0.381218 | -0.392501 | -0.664628 | 0.841299 | 1.000000 | 0.604803 | |
| VNINDEX | 0.364282 | 0.414535 | 0.767832 | -0.057490 | 0.008190 | -0.877965 | -0.821145 | 0.865637 | 0.604803 | 1.000000 | |

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Figure 9 - SHB

| | Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | BETASHB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX | |
| BETASHB | 1.000000 | -0.228581 | -0.011854 | 0.244650 | -0.480409 | -0.208929 | -0.055766 | 0.037226 | 0.014026 | 0.066297 | |
| CPI | -0.228581 | 1.000000 | 0.355839 | 0.084484 | 0.413563 | -0.414518 | -0.181729 | 0.255209 | -0.220207 | 0.414535 | |
| EXRATE | -0.011854 | 0.355839 | 1.000000 | -0.085689 | -0.083666 | -0.775791 | -0.724295 | 0.686922 | 0.531029 | 0.767832 | |
| G | 0.244650 | 0.084484 | -0.085689 | 1.000000 | 0.183953 | -0.269621 | 0.461428 | -0.451641 | -0.519267 | -0.057490 | |
| IM | -0.480409 | 0.413563 | -0.083666 | 0.183953 | 1.000000 | 0.092188 | 0.151470 | -0.215029 | -0.381218 | 0.008190 | |
| R | -0.208929 | -0.414518 | -0.775791 | -0.269621 | 0.092188 | 1.000000 | 0.639799 | -0.670855 | -0.392501 | -0.877965 | |
| RF | -0.055766 | -0.181729 | -0.724295 | 0.461428 | 0.151470 | 0.639799 | 1.000000 | -0.834478 | -0.664628 | -0.821145 | |
| SP500 | 0.037226 | 0.255209 | 0.686922 | -0.451641 | -0.215029 | -0.670855 | -0.834478 | 1.000000 | 0.841299 | 0.865637 | |
| TRADEBA | 0.014026 | -0.220207 | 0.531029 | -0.519267 | -0.381218 | -0.392501 | -0.664628 | 0.841299 | 1.000000 | 0.604803 | |
| VNINDEX | 0.066297 | 0.414535 | 0.767832 | -0.057490 | 0.008190 | -0.877965 | -0.821145 | 0.865637 | 0.604803 | 1.000000 | |

Figure 10 - ACB

| | Correlation Matrix | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | BETAACB | CPI | EXRATE | G | IM | R | RF | SP500 | TRADEBA | VNINDEX | |
| BETAACB | 1.000000 | 0.301399 | 0.012415 | 0.015720 | 0.399435 | 0.010349 | 0.040732 | -0.040497 | -0.163941 | 0.051257 | |
| CPI | 0.301399 | 1.000000 | 0.355839 | 0.084484 | 0.413563 | -0.414518 | -0.181729 | 0.255209 | -0.220207 | 0.414535 | |
| EXRATE | 0.012415 | 0.355839 | 1.000000 | -0.085689 | -0.083666 | -0.775791 | -0.724295 | 0.686922 | 0.531029 | 0.767832 | |
| G | 0.015720 | 0.084484 | -0.085689 | 1.000000 | 0.183953 | -0.269621 | 0.461428 | -0.451641 | -0.519267 | -0.057490 | |
| IM | 0.399435 | 0.413563 | -0.083666 | 0.183953 | 1.000000 | 0.092188 | 0.151470 | -0.215029 | -0.381218 | 0.008190 | |
| R | 0.010349 | -0.414518 | -0.775791 | -0.269621 | 0.092188 | 1.000000 | 0.639799 | -0.670855 | -0.392501 | -0.877965 | |
| RF | 0.040732 | -0.181729 | -0.724295 | 0.461428 | 0.151470 | 0.639799 | 1.000000 | -0.834478 | -0.664628 | -0.821145 | |
| SP500 | -0.040497 | 0.255209 | 0.686922 | -0.451641 | -0.215029 | -0.670855 | -0.834478 | 1.000000 | 0.841299 | 0.865637 | |
| TRADEBA | -0.163941 | -0.220207 | 0.531029 | -0.519267 | -0.381218 | -0.392501 | -0.664628 | 0.841299 | 1.000000 | 0.604803 | |
| VNINDEX | 0.051257 | 0.414535 | 0.767832 | -0.057490 | 0.008190 | -0.877965 | -0.821145 | 0.865637 | 0.604803 | 1.000000 | |

4.2 OLS Regression Results

We run regression OLS for 5 banks, accordingly:

First, For CTG external factors:

We found out from the below figure that external macro factors such as exchange rate, trade balance have negative correlation with market risk. An increase in these factors might cause beta decrease.

Table 1 - Internal vs. External Macro Effects on Beta CAPM Period 2015-2020 - Case CTG

| Data | Internal effe | cts | External effe | ects |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER |
| G | -17.1 | | | |
| CPI | -0.6 | | | |
| R | -55.6 | | | |
| Rf | 13.7 | | | |
| VNIndex | -0.002 | | | |
| IM | 0.01 | | | |
| Ex rate | | | -0.0001 | |
| SP500 | | | 0.0004 | |
| Trade balance | | | -0.001 | |
| | | 0.67; 0.59 | | 0.2; 0.7 |

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

We found out from the above table that internal macro factors such as IM and Rf has positive correlation with market risk while CPI, GDP growth, and R have negative correlation with beta. An increase in lending rate might cause beta decrease.

Second, For VCB

We see from the below table that external macro variables (exchange rate and trade balance) have negative correlation with market risk. An increase in trade balance might cause beta decrease.

Table 2 - Internal vs. External Macro Effects on Beta CAPM Period 2015-2020 - Case VCB

| Data | Internal effects | | External effects | |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER |
| G | 3.8 | | | |
| CPI | 7.5 | | | |
| R | -46 | | | |
| Rf | -8.9 | | | |
| VNIndex | -0.004 | | | |
| IM | 0.0004 | | | |
| Ex rate | | | -0.0002 | |
| SP500 | | | 0.0003 | |
| Trade balance | | | -0.001 | |
| | | 0.5; 0.4 | | 0.3; 0.4 |

We see from the above table that internal macro factors such as g and CPI have positive correlation with market risk while R, VNIndex, and risk free rate have negative correlation with beta. An increase in Rf might cause beta decrease.

Third, For EIB

We recognize from the below table that external macro factors (exchange rate and SP500) have positive correlation with market risk.

Table 3 - Internal vs. External Macro Effects on Beta CAPM Period 2015-2020 - Case EIB

| Data | Internal effects | | External effects | |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER |
| G | 14.3 | | | |
| CPI | -13.8 | | | |
| R | 19.1 | | | |
| Rf | 3.2 | | | |
| VNIndex | 0.002 | | | |
| IM | 0.006 | | | |
| Ex rate | | | 0.0002 | |
| SP500 | | | 0.0002 | |
| Trade balance | | | -0.0005 | |
| | | 0.58; 0.54 | | 0.06; 0.6 |

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

We recognize from the above table that internal macro factors such as G, R and Rf have positive correlation with market risk while CPI has negative correlation with beta. An increase in Rf might cause beta increase.

Fourth, For SHB

We recognize from the below table that external macro factors such as exchange rate and trade balance have negative correlation with market risk while SP500 has positive correlation with beta. An increase in exchange rate might cause beta decrease.

Table 4 - Internal vs. External Macro effects on Beta CAPM Period 2015-2020 - Case SHB

| Data | Internal effects | | External effects | |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER |
| G | 27.6 | | | |
| CPI | -0.2 | | | |
| R | 23.2 | | | |
| Rf | -21.6 | | | |
| VNIndex | 1.59E | | | |
| IM | -0.009 | | | |
| Ex rate | | | -0.0001 | |
| SP500 | | | 0.0002 | |
| Trade balance | | | -0.0001 | |
| | | 0.38; 0.8 | | 0.005; 0.8 |

We recognize from the above table that internal macro factors such as G and R have positive correlation with market risk while CPI and Rf has negative correlation with beta. An increase in Rf might cause beta decrease.

Fifth, For ACB

We recognize from the below table that external macro factors such as exchange rate and SP500 have positive correlation with market risk while trade balance has negative correlation with beta. An increase in exchange rate might cause beta increase.

Table 5 - Internal vs. External Macro effects on Beta CAPM period 2015-2020 - case ACB

| Data | Internal effects | | External effects | |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER | Co-efficient | R-squared, SER |
| G | -6.1 | | | |
| CPI | 10.2 | | | |
| R | 1.1 | | | |
| Rf | 9.3 | | | |
| VNIndex | 0.0006 | | | |
| IM | 0.006 | | | |
| Ex rate | | | 6.86E | |
| SP500 | | | 0.0004 | |
| Trade balance | | | -0.001 | |
| | | 0.19; 1.04 | | 0.06; 0.8 |

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

We recognize from the above table that internal macro factors such as industrial production

and Rf have positive correlation with market risk while GDP growth has negative correlation with

beta. An increase in Rf might cause beta increase.

5. Discussion

During Post – Low (L) Inflation

In groups of banks (SOEs previously) VCB and CTG we find out: G and Risk free rate (Rf)

have higher impacts on beta CAPM, for internal factors. While for external factors, trade balance

have higher impacts on market risk. VNIndex has slightly negative relationship and impact on beta.

In groups of joint stock banks (private banks) SHB, ACB and EIB we figure out: exchange

rate and SP500 have higher impacts on beta CAPM, for external factors. For internal factors, Rf,

GDP growth and lending rate have higher impacts on market risk. Thats happen during post -L

inflation stage. Lending rate has positive impact in 3 cases.

6. Conclusion

Because GDP growth and Risk free rate have higher effects on market risks of banks,

Ministry of Finance, State bank of Vietnam and relevant agencies need to control GDP growth as

well as rates of Treasury bonds toward benefits for managing risk.

Mukhamadeev et al (2019) stated that the role of information systems for entrepreneurship

education in developing countries on the example of the Azerbaijan education system and Internet

banking. The information systems role in entrepreneurship education was determined with the help of

online questionnaire. As a result of the study, it was found out that about 29% of higher

entrepreneurship education institutions use IT technologies and e-learning principles in the learning

process.

From the above regression model and equation, Government and Ministry of Finance need to

perform:

• Policy of reasonable inflation control, exchange rate stabilization, no currency manipulation.

• Completed implementation of risk analysis models under the impact of monetary and financial

541

policies

ISSN: 2237-0722

Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Our model also shows that other macro factors such as VNIndex and exchange rate just have slight impact on Beta CAPM. And macro internal factors have much more effects on market risk of banks.

Limitation of Research

We can expand our research model for other industries and other markets.

References

Al-Qaisi., K.M. (2011). 'The Economic Determinants of Systematic Risk in the Jordanian Capital Market', *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, vol.2, no.20, pp. 85-95.

Anderssen, T.G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F.X., and Wu, J. (2005). 'A Framework for Exploring the Macroeconomic Determinants of Systematic Risk', *Financial Economics, Macroeconomics, and Econometrics*, vol.95. no.2, pp. 398-404.

Arnes, S.K. (2014). 'Impact of Macroeconomic Indicators on Stock Market Performance: The Case of The Istanbul Stock Exchange', *Master Thesis*, Copenhagen Business School. https://researchapi.cbs.dk/ws/portalfiles/portal/58450158/sibel_arnes.pdf.

Ahmad, N., and Ramzan, M. (2016). 'Stock Market Volatility and Macroeconomic Factor Volatility', *International Journal of Research in Business Studies and Management*, 3(7), 37-44.

Ahmed, A., Ejaz, A., Ali, R., Ishfaq Ahmad, I. 2018. Sectoral integration and investment diversification opportunities: evidence from Colombo Stock Exchange. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, vol.5, no.3, pp. 514-527. https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.3(8)

Basu, Devraj., and Streme, Alexander. (2007). CAPM and Time-Varying Beta: The Cross-Section of Expected Returns, SSRN Working paper series

Bohachova, O. (2008). 'The Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Risks in the Banking Sector: A Cross-Country Empirical Assessment', *IAW Discussion Papers* 44, Institut für Angewandte Wirtschaftsforschung (IAW).

Bowman, R.G. (1979). 'The Theoretical Relationship Between Systematic Risk and Financial (Accounting) Variables', *The Journal of Finance*, vol. 34, no.3, pp. 617-630.

Butt, B.Z., Rehman, K.U. (2010). 'Do economic factors influence stock returns? A firm and industry level analysis', *African Journal of Business Management*, vol.4, no.5, pp. 583-593

Chatterjea, Arkadev., Jerian, Joseph A., and Jarrow, Robert A. (2001). Market Manipulation and Corporate Finance: A new Perspectives, 1994 Annual Meeting Review, South Western Finance Association, Texas, USA.

Chen RR, Chidambaran NK, Imerman MB, Sopranzetti BJ. (2013). Liquidity, Leverage, and Lehman: A Structural Analysis of Financial Institutions in Crisis, *Fordham School of Business Research Paper No.2279686*

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Cheng, L.Y., Wang, M.C., and Chen, K.C. (2014). Institutional Investment Horizons and the Stock Performance of Private Equity Placements: Evidence from the Taiwanese Listed Firms, *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies*, 17(2).

Celebi, K., and Honig, M. (2019). 'The Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on the German Stock Market: Evidence for the Crisis, Pre- and Post-Crisis Periods', *International Journal of Financial Studies*, vol.7, no.18. doi:10.3390/ijfs7020018

Claudia, M.P., Sandra, E., and Esteban, P. (2010). 'Macroeconomic factors and micro-level bank risk', *Discussion Paper Series 1: Economic Studies No 20/2010*.

Curran, M., and Velic, A. (2018). 'The CAPM, National Stock Market Betas, and Macroeconomic Covariates: A Global Analysis', *Trinity Economics Papers 0618*, Trinity College Dublin, Department of Economics.

Dat, P.M., Mau, N.D., Loan, B.T.T., Huy, D.T.N. (2020). Comparative China Corporate Governance standards After Financial Crisis, Corporate Scandals and Manipulation, *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, 9(3).

De Gennaro, Ramon P., Kim, Sangphill. (2003). The CAPM and Beta in an Imperfect Market, *SSRN Working paper series*.

Dimitrov V, Jain PC. (2006). The Value Relevance of Changes in Financial Leverage, SSRN Working Paper.

Emilios, A. 2015, 'Bank Leverage Ratios and Financial Stability: A Micro- and Macroprudential Perspective', *Working Paper No.849*, Levy Economics Institute.

Eugene FF, French KR. (2004). The Capital Asset Pricing Model: Theory and Evidence, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*.

Galagedera, D.U.A. (2007). An alternative perspective on the relationship between downside beta and CAPM beta, *Emerging Markets Review*.

Galagedera, D.U.A. (2007). 'An alternative perspective on the relationship between downside beta and CAPM beta', *Emerging Markets Review*.

Gay, R.D. (2016). 'Effect Of Macroeconomic Variables On Stock Market Returns For Four Emerging Economies: Brazil, Russia, India, And China', *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, vol.15, no.3.

Gizycki, M. (2001). 'The Effect Of Macroeconomic Conditions on Bank Risks and Profitability', *Research Discussion Paper 2001-06*, System Stability Department Reserve Bank of Australia. Retrieved from: https://www.rba.gov.au/publications/rdp/2001/pdf/rdp2001-06.pdf.

Gunarathna, V. (2016). How does Financial Leverage Affect Financial Risk? An Empirical Study in Sri Lanka, *Amity Journal of Finance*, *I*(1), 57-66.

Gunaratha V. (2013). The Degree of Financial Leverage as a Determinant of Financial Risk: An Empirical Study of Colombo Stock Exchange in Sri Lanka, 2nd International Conference on Management and Economics Paper.

Hojat, S. (2015). 'The Impact of Monetary Policy On the Stock Market', *Doctoral dissertation*, Walden University.

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2602&context=dissertations

Huey-Yeh, L., Nuraeni, H.F., and Meihua, K. (2016). 'The Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Credit Risk in Conventional Banks and Islamic Banks: Evidence from Indonesia', *International Journal of Financial Research*, vol.7, no.4.

Hac, L.D., Huy, D.T.N., Thach, N.N., Chuyen, B.M., Nhung, P.T.H., Thang, T.D., Anh, T.T. (2021). Enhancing risk management culture for sustainable growth of Asia commercial bank -ACB in Vietnam under mixed effects of macro factors, *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 8(3).

Hang, T.T.B., Nhung, D.T.H., Hung, N.M., Huy, D.T.N., Dat, P.M. (2020). Where Beta is going—case of Viet Nam hotel, airlines and tourism company groups after the low inflation period, *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(3).

Huy, D.T.N. (2015). The Critical Analysis of Limited South Asian Corporate Governance Standards After Financial Crisis, *International Journal for Quality Research*, 9(4): 741-764.

Huy, D.T.N. (2012). Estimating Beta of Viet Nam listed construction companies groups during the crisis, *Journal of Integration and Development*, 15 (1), 57-71

Huy, D. T.N., Loan, B. T., and Anh, P. T. (2020). 'Impact of selected factors on stock price: a case study of Vietcombank in Vietnam', *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, vol.7, no.4, pp. 2715-2730. https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4(10)

Huy, D. T.N., Dat, P. M., và Anh, P. T. (2020). 'Building and econometric model of selected factors' impact on stock price: a case study', *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, vol.9(M), pp. 77-93. https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2020.9.M(7)

Huy D.T.N., Nhan V.K., Bich N.T.N., Hong N.T.P., Chung N.T., Huy P.Q. (2021). 'Impacts of Internal and External Macroeconomic Factors on Firm Stock Price in an Expansion Econometric model—A Case in Vietnam Real Estate Industry', *Data Science for Financial Econometrics-Studies in Computational Intelligence*, vol.898, Springer.

http://doi-org-443.webvpn.fjmu.edu.cn/10.1007/978-3-030-48853-6_14

Khwaja, Asim Ijaz., and Mian, Atif. (2005). Unchecked intermediaries:Price manipulation in an emerging stock market, *Journal of Financial Economics* 78, 243 – 241.

Krishna, R.C. (2015). 'Macroeconomic Variables impact on Stock Prices in a BRIC Stock Markets: An Empirical Analysis, *Journal of Stock & Forex Trading*, vol.4, no.2. https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9458.1000153

Kulathunga, K. (2015). Macroeconomic Factors and Stock Market Development: With Special Reference to Colombo Stock Exchange, *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, vol.5, no.8, pp. 1-7.

Kumaresan, R. (2019). 'The Effects of Macroeconomics Factors towards the Starbucks Corporation', *MPRA Paper No. 97243*. https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/97243/1/MPRA_paper_97243.pdf

Nawaz. R., Ahmed, W., Imran, Sabir, S., and Arshad, M. 2017, 'Financial Variables and Systematic Risk', *Chinese Business Review*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 36-46. doi: 10.17265/1537-1506/2017.01.004

Ozlen., S., and Ergun, U. (2012). 'Macroeconomic Factors and Stock Returns', *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, vol.2, no.9, pp. 315-343.

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Li, L., and Pornchai, C. (2014). Income Structure, Competitiveness, Profitability, and Risk: Evidence from Asian Banks, *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies*, 17(3).

Loc, T.D., & Trang, D.T.H. (2014). Fama-French 3-factor model: Empirical evidence from Ho Chi Minh City Stock Exchange, *Can Tho scientific journal*, 32(4): 61-68.

Martin, K., and Sweder, V.W. (2012). On Risk, leverage and banks: Do highly leveraged banks take on excessive risk?, *Discussion Paper TI 12-022/2/DSF31*, Tinbergen Institute

Pamane, K., and Vikpossi, A.E. 2014, 'An Analysis of the Relationship between Risk and Expected Return in the BRVM Stock Exchange: Test of the CAPM', *Research in World Economy*, vol.5, no.1, pp. 13-28.

Patro, D.K., Wald, J., & Wu, Y. (2002). 'The Impact of Macroeconomic and Financial Variables on Market Risk: Evidence from International Equity Returns', *European Financial Management*, 8(4): 421 - 447. DOI: 10.1111/1468-036X.00198

Perkovic, A. (2011). 'Research of Beta As Adequate Risk Measure - Is Beta Still Alive?', *Croatian Operational Research Review (CRORR)*, vol. 2, pp. 102-111.

Puspitaningtyas, Z. (2017). 'Estimating systematic risk for the best investment decisions on manufacturing company in Indonesia', *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, vol.14, no.1, pp. 46-54. doi:10.21511/imfi.14(1).2017.05

Park, J.C, Ali, F.D., & Mbanga, C. (2019). Investor sentiment and aggregate stock returns: the role of investor attention, Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting, 53(2), 397 - 428.

Quan, V.D.H. (2012). Systematic risk and beta problems in Vietnam, Financial Journal, http://tapchitaichinh.vn/nghien-cuu-trao-doi/rui-ro-he-thong-va-van-de-xac-dinh-he-so-beta-tai-vietnam-1257.html

Robicheck, A.A., and Cohn, R.A. (1974). 'The Economic Determinants of Systematic Risk', *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 439-447.

Sadeghzadeh, K. (2018). 'The effects of microeconomic factors on the stock market: A panel for the stock exchange in Istanbul ARDL analysis', *Theoretical and Applied Economics Volume XXV*, vol.3, no.616, pp. 113-134.

Sadia, S., and Noreen, A. 2012, 'Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Banking Index in Pakistan', *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, vol.4, no.6, pp. 1200-1218.

Saeed, S., and Akhter, N. (2016). 'Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Banking Index in Pakistan', *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, vol.4, no.6.

Singh, T., Mehta, S., and Versha, M.S. (2010). 'Macroeconomic factors and stock returns: Evidence from Taiwan', *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, vol.2, no.4, pp. 217-227

Siregar, E.I., and Diana. (2019). 'The Impact of Political Risk and Macro Economics on Stock Return at Indonesia Stock Exchange-An Approach of Arbritage Pricing Theory (APT)', *International Conference on Economics, Management, and Accounting (ICEMA)*, pp.744–772.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i26.5412

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)

Tahmidi, A. Westlund, S.A., & Sheludchenko, D. (2011). The Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Market Risk Premium, Working paper, Mälardalen University.

https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:429080/FULLTEXT01.pdf

Tomuleasa, I.I. (2015). 'Macroprudential policy and systemic risk: An overview', *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 20, pp.645 – 653.

Umar. (2011). Profits, Financial Leverage and Corporate Governance, SSRN Working Paper.

ISSN: 2237-0722 Vol. 11 No. 2 (2021)